

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,013	03/31/2004	Ronald S. Cok	87893AJA	9204
7:	590 11/17/2005		EXAMINER	
Paul A. Leipold			LEPISTO, RYAN A	
Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
343 State Street			2883	
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers		10/815,013	COK ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ryan Lepisto	2883				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D risions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become	VICATION.  a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 A	Narch 2004.					
·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	s action is non-final.		•			
,	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the meri						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examino	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to	o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureatee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this Nationa	l Stage			
Attachment	• •	. 🗖					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		y Summary (PTO-413) p(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		f Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-14, drawn to method of manufacturing a light-conductive pipe, classified in class 264, subclass 1.24.
- II. Claims 15-19, drawn to a light-pipe, classified in class 385, subclass 123.
- III. Claims 20-22, drawn to an integrated linear array of light-conductive pipes, classified in class 385, subclass 115.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II and I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product by process claims (15-19 and 20-22) are examined according to the limitations of the product, not the process so the light-pipe can be made by a drawing process.

Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not have

Application/Control Number: 10/815,013

Art Unit: 2883

the details of the subcombination since they are product by process claims. The subcombination has separate utility such as an optical waveguide.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one Group is not required for the other Groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Andrew Anderson on 12 October 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made since the message was not returned.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Contact Information

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan Lepisto whose telephone number is (571) 272-1946. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frank Font

Art Unit 2883

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Date: 11/1/05

Technology Center 2800

Frank & Fort